



12.

## Clowning Around (Modernist Catholicism)



Though dissecting frogs in biology class is grosser than dissecting today's religious institutions, this can still get messy. The one Catholic Church subdivides into Western and Eastern groupings: all interconnected under the Pope. Notably, Eastern Rite priests can be married. I was received into a Romanian parish, consisting of Transylvanian immigrants celebrating the Byzantine Rite. The Orthodox Church is also called the (Eastern) Catholic Church. This former force for good has deadly cancer through corrupt elements. I expose these problems so we can correct them and return Catholicism to its original intent.

### Notable Problems

\*"Ongoing Revelation": Doctrinal evolution weakens Church stability, opening the floodgates for everything else. Priest Robert Barron explains how Catholics supposedly avoid private Bible interpretation: "My atheistic conversation partners erroneously think that Catholics believe that God dictated the Bible word for word. Rather, it is God's word expressed through words of men. It is also a collection of different literary genres, not just one book. Therefore you cannot approach the Bible with one clunky pair of interpretive lenses. It's not like a newspaper. Rather, you must use different interpretive lenses."

"The whole problem is biblical literalism and making a binary option between literalism and nonsense, while Catholics have never literalized the Bible. Rather, we have followed the historical tradition of using allegorical, symbolical, spiritual, and other interpretive lenses; a very rich complex subtle interpretive tradition."<sup>1</sup>

However, Priest Barron uses biased language by referring to interpreting the Bible only one way as a "clunky" interpretive lens, while his various interpretive lenses are "very rich." He uses the same straw man argument Protestants use, misinterpreting his opponent's position by saying the Bible is not like a newspaper and refuting the distortion. Nobody says it's like a newspaper. The point is, it is much harder to understand than most books regardless of genre. And although biblical literalism is a major problem, it's not the whole problem. Then he undermines his position by stating "By trying to influence the modern world the modern world influenced us instead, causing us to jettison our Christianity, relinquish our weapons of faith, and pursue Modernity."

\*Sexual Misconduct: Approximately 4% of priests worldwide were caught abusing underage persons.<sup>(2)</sup> Yet eight years of advanced education after High School is required for all priests, who are officially declared to have dedicated their entire life to God by the Church. Therefore, this stupidity and baseness should have been virtually eliminated. Since it was not, this alone proves that the Church is quite corrupt.

\*Canonizing saints is claiming to know for certain that they are in Heaven right now. Joan of Arc (1412-31) was burned alive as a heretic by the Church, then canonized in 1920. That alone proves that canonization is utterly unreliable. One man who suffered debilitating back pain said "If I were miraculously healed, I would credit John Paul II one hundred percent since he's my advocate." What happened to Jesus Christ? A scarlet suited man guiding magic flying animals who sneaks into people's houses through their dirty chimneys replaces Christ at Christmas. Though Santa Claus was originally based on Saint Nicholas, it became

equivalent to worshipping Bozo the Clown, as parents justified lying to their children about the fictional legend aspect. Instead: Soli Deo Gloria! (glory to God alone).

\*Mariolatry: I was Catholic for ten years, in four different parishes. I never encountered Mary worship. They worshipped Christ. However, a Catholic CD revealed that Mary worship does exist within the Church.(3) Mary is therein called co-redemptrix (Co-Redeemer), and it suggested that Mary was Holy Spirit incarnate, closely akin to having a nature like Christ.(4) Churchmen claim that Mary never sinned whatsoever, clearly contradicting Scripture wherein all have sinned (**Romans 3:9-23**). Even asking Mary or other canonized saints to pray for you is illogical (unlike asking your friend to pray for you), since millions around the world pray to them. They could not hear everyone's prayers unless they could be present in many places at once like God. *Origen Against Celsus chapters IV, XI, XXXVII, etc.* (AD 237) clarifies that every prayer, supplication, intercession, and thanksgiving of Christians should be sent to God through Jesus Christ; no exceptions.

\*Encouraging military service to fight in dubious wars, while the Church of the first three centuries was distinctly anti-war/military. Then the Church contradicts herself by opposing the death penalty in all circumstances.

\*Multiculturalism/Idolatry: Pope John Paul II publicly kissed the Koran; Pope Benedict XVI declared our indebtedness to it. Yet Islam's Koran opposes Christianity by denying that Jesus was God or that God is a trinity. "Mother" Theresa worked together with and publicly honored Atheists, and encouraged Hindus to pray to their own gods. Pope Francis called the Jewish community the Church's big brother; Jewish rabbis may teach on Catholic television. Yet these groups view Jesus Christ as a fraud, never making any concession to Christianity.

Jesus clearly stated that you're either for Him or against Him; two cannot walk together unless they agree. The Apostle John wrote: Whosoever transgresseth, and abideth not in Christ's doctrine, hath not God. He that abideth in Christ's doctrine has both Father and Son. If any bring not this doctrine, receive him not into your house, neither bid him Godspeak; he that biddeth him Godspeak partakes of his evil deeds (**II John 9-11, I John 4:1-3**). All Christian leaders who don't teach the necessity of faith in Christ are false teachers; they are apostate. New Testament Scripture and the early Church fathers make this crystal clear. Yet Pope Francis and cardinals supported the worship of Incan gods in the Vatican.<sup>5</sup>

\*Deadness: Seventy-five percent of Catholics don't even attend Sunday Mass. Of those who do, only a fraction go to Confession. The vast majority do nothing to spread Christ's Gospel. Some don't even teach their own children. Most Catholics cannot defend their faith, are unfamiliar with their own Catechism, and often deny Catholic doctrine.

\*Historically, only clergy taught and spread the Gospel, but historically, only clergy were literate. An unbeliever became deathly ill. His Catholic business partner visited him, saying: "You are about to die; you ought to make your peace with God." The dying man replied, "If Christ in your Church meant so little to you during your life that you never once mentioned him to me, how can he mean anything to me at my death?" And he died. In fact, Pope Francis said that Christians should never try to converts, the exact opposite of what Christ taught.<sup>6</sup>

\*Radical Feminism: Even some bishops deny seminarian's acceptance into the clergy if they obey the Pope by opposing women's ordination. Despite nun's admirable service and dedication, that doesn't justify their radical feminism, including disparaging sexist comments towards their superiors. Nor does it justify their support of anti-Christian policies. Many nuns are not even Christian, a quandary between their dedication and their rebellion. I propose that nun-hood be abolished. These women can be great wives to lonely single men, help them become better men, and serve Christ nonetheless. And the public persona of "Mother" Theresa was radically different from the true person.<sup>7</sup>

\*Laxity: The Church condones gambling, resulting in a priest gambling away our parish's money and causing its split. Other incidents involved priests and deacons robbing the Church, each gambling away more money than what you could buy a house with. Some parishioners own bars and taverns, which are advertised in church bulletins. A San Francisco parish allowed a gay pagan group to lead bingo events, with sex toys as prizes.<sup>8</sup>

\*Lacking or nonexistent Social Interaction: Cultural Catholics have big families, evidently feeling little need for social groups. Converts with little or no family are often disconnected. Neither can isolated but devout laypeople develop close relationships with priests to enhance their Christian walk. Priests are always busy and bishops can unexpectedly call them, instantly transferring them to another part of the country.

\*Evolution: It was a Catholic priest who invented the Big Bang Theory, which nonbelievers everywhere gleefully embrace. Famous physics proponent Stephen Hawking commented on the Big Bang Theory: "The universe burped itself into existence." So the dignity of God and Creation has been replaced with the notion of belching slobs. Catholic schools and commentaries teach neo-Darwinism, a pseudoscientific rival to historical Christianity, as fact. People originating from lower life forms and non-living matter reeks of paganism and is detrimental to faith in God. See IV.A Third Option (Origins).

\*Annulment Heresy: After the Church loosened restrictions on annulments, mega-millions of Catholics conveniently sought to terminate their marriages, while the Church makes money on every annulment granted. See XXVIII.Marriage: An Expose' under Divorce and Remarriage.

\*Financial Greed: Priests overemphasize abortion, contraception, marriage, financial contribution, and parochial schooling, while neglecting other critical areas. Notably, the issues that are emphasized are ones that facilitate their large numbers, and keep lots of money in the Church, by encouraging the breeding of many children, then sending them to expensive parochial schools. John "Black Sheepdog" Corapi noted that the Church's manipulative system of capitalism and complicated and subversive bureaucracy outdoes that of any large corporation. It resembles a fast food franchise: billions and billions served.

\*Internal Conflicts: Again referencing John Corapi when he was a priest on Catholic television: "I often dread gatherings of priests because they're all bickering and fighting. It's a terrible experience."<sup>9</sup> "Father" John Corapi, one of the most dedicated and renowned Catholic priests, quit the priesthood because he felt mistreated by the establishment.

## CHURCH BUILDINGS

In 1755 in Lisbon, Portugal, everyone was in church for All Saint's Day, when a big earthquake hit. The tallest, biggest buildings, the churches, were by far the most susceptible to the quake. Therefore around fifty thousand churchgoers died.<sup>10</sup> First century Christians met in private homes: (**Romans 16:15, Corinthians 16:19, Philemon 2**). Sometimes there were communal dwelling places, but they were no more than glorified barns. Today's church buildings sidetrack believers, who must pay for the abomination, and its heating, air conditioning, electric, plumbing, speaker system, landscaping, pipe organ, maintenance, repairs, insurance, and phone bills.

Unnecessary edifices are the epitome of laying up your treasure upon Earth, exactly what our Lord preached against. Yet the Roman hierarchy originated colossal mega-million dollar monstrosities (each having its own tiny plain wooden Poor Box). **Paraphrasing Acts 17:24**: God, who made the entire universe, being Lord of Heaven and Earth, DOES NOT DWELL IN TEMPLES MADE WITH HANDS. In *The Stromata Chapter XI* Clement of Alexandria (AD 195) stated "The Word, prohibiting the constructing of temples, intim-

ates that the Almighty is not contained in anything.” Quoting *Origin Against Celsus Chapter XIX* (AD 248): “We refuse to build lifeless temples to the Giver of all life.” Rather, “Our bodies are the temple of God.” Although the Catholic Church is not the Babylonian Harlot, Vatican headquarters outdoes the Harlot in pompous, wasteful extravagance.

#### CHURCH BUILDINGS: A Priest Defends Them; Blasts my Position

Reacting to my anti-Church building stance, a priest made the following points, followed by my rebuttals: \$“Saints like Francis of Assisi supported the idea of monolithic Church buildings.” In response, the early fathers predated those churchmen. The earliest saints did NOT support the idea of monolithic buildings.

\$“Everyone meeting in private homes would be woefully inefficient; there aren’t enough priests to go around.” In response, with priests consecrating the Eucharist in their home which is distributed to home fellowships, copies of the liturgy and sermons sent to every home fellowship, and able men officiating, the problem is solved.

\$“People love the buildings. Everyone is welcome here, whether they contribute much, little, or nothing.” In response, in times without special buildings, Christians were generally much stronger in the faith, while today most are very weak. Besides, sermons are wasted on talking about financial contributions, which hinders Christianity’s message.

\$“The beauty of Church buildings are sources of great inspiration; they show who we are.” In response, private homes can be embellished throughout with every imaginable artifice, including stained glass windows. Besides, many modern Church buildings are considered ugly, with their grey cinder blocks, plain cement columns, and exposed buttresses.

\$“The monumental structure of Church buildings solidifies our identity and insures confidence.” In response, having services outside in good weather outdoes any building. The monumental structure of giant trees, sky and clouds, and our magnificent and dazzling sun, all created by our God, do much more to solidify our identity and insure confidence than any wasteful building.

\$“While I [priest] support giving to the poor, poverty can only be temporarily assuaged, while buildings are perpetual lasting monuments.” In response, Christian websites can also be perpetual lasting monuments. They run twenty-four hours a day, three hundred sixty-five days a year. They can last a hundred years, as long as someone pays to keep them up. Besides, Christian websites fulfill Christ’s Great Commission of spreading the Gospel throughout the world, while Jesus never spoke about erecting Church buildings.

\$“Not only did Jesus not speak against religious buildings, He called elaborate Jewish temples “My Father’s house.”” In response, Jewish leaders were so corrupt, Jesus was probably too busy addressing their heresies and abuse of others to get around to religious buildings. Jesus never said anything about the horrible lack of sanitation in His day either, but that didn’t make that okay. Secondly, although the elaborate temple was God’s house, the tabernacle (a mere tent) was certainly God’s house before this time. Thirdly, while the Old Covenant was heavily dependent on physical representations, Christ’s glorious life and personage fulfilled those representations, the focus became spiritual instead of physical.

And Christ prophesied that those religious buildings would be utterly demolished; not a single stone was left standing. His goal was moving us away from that. As He said in [Luke 17:20-21](#): “The kingdom of God cometh not with observation: Neither shall they say, lo here! Or, lo there! for, behold, the kingdom of God is within you. Yet despite that, “Mother” Theresa’s ministry spent the money from their donations to construct more monasteries for nuns, so that they couldn’t afford medical treatment for the poor, who therefore suffered and died.

\$A Protestant fellow explained that elaborate buildings attract nonbelievers who are seekers, whereas they would never otherwise venture into a Christian's private home. But that's problematic, as it puts quantity before quality. Quoting **Revelation 3:16**: "Because thou [believer] art lukewarm [marginal] and neither cold nor hot [on fire for Christ] I will spue [vomit] thee out of my mouth." And enticing nonbelievers with free food and drink, entertainment, amenities, and so forth further dilutes Christendom. This harms the Christian's influence, and in the long run can lead to turning more people away from church than are brought in, as many outsiders disparage hypocrisy and half-hearted commitment.

With a convoluted church building it is overwhelmingly tempting to preach whatever the people paying most for the building and its related expenses want to hear, and refraining from saying anything that might cause them not to return and/or withhold their financial support. The most wicked people on Earth may freely attend, and thereby destroy Christianity entirely due to this liability. So we **MUST** get rid of such church buildings, no matter how difficult or inconvenient to do so.

So, sell those church buildings; use the money to help the poor and increase the advertising. Or demolish the buildings and create more space for God's green Earth. Regardless, let's meet in fellow believer's private homes, using basements and refurbished attics if necessary. Simply have a large sign saying Christians Meet Here.

### Counterfeit Christianity

Besides the aforementioned problems, radicals within the Church deny various foundational Christian truths, such as Christ's deity, resurrection, and sacrificial death. Some deny the necessity of faith and of the Church herself. Others promote other non-Christian ideas. Yet these unrepentant people refuse to leave the Church. Instead of excommunicating or adequately disciplining them, now the official Catholic Bible is a third-rate turd with heretical footnotes in some copies; the only translation allowed for public readings. Most Catholics, including many bishops, approve of same-sex marriage, divorce, or fornication. There might be more heresy in the Church than outside it.

If something raises a red flag in the business world or on a sports team, and no vigilance or admonition is ever meted out, what happens? Fellow business partners and teammates admonish one another regularly for disconcerting characteristics and ineptitude; yet concerning eternal spiritual welfare, many are angered if anyone dare question their uncanny resemblance to a log floating downstream! John Corapi said: "If the Catholic Church was a secular corporation, it'd be out of business, and if it was a sports team, it would lose every game."

We must conclude that Christendom is in the danger zone. Although the Church is God's holy and divine saving institution, God values free will above all; that's why He might allow her to fully apostatize. Paul said "The Church is the pillar and ground of the truth." However, he also said "I'm going to Spain." A statement being true does not mean it's always true. So heed the warning signs and repent.

### Puzzling Popery

A chief problem preventing Christian unity is Papal infallibility. As Father John Corapi said: "The Pope can't make a mistake." This is the equivalent of telling somebody to shut up, cutting off all dialogue. He's no more infallible than I am.

To that someone responded “The pope is infallible only when making ‘EX-CATHEDRA’ statements. This is an important nuance. The doctrine of Papal Infallibility was formerly promulgated at Vatican I in 1870. It’s only been used twice; by Pope Pius in 1854 and Pope Pius XII in 1950.” [or that he’s infallible Ex Cathedra only with the college of bishop’s ratification].

Yet This Vatican declaration caused a Church split, creating “Old Catholics.” The Ex-Cathedra nuance helps little. Paraphrasing minister Eldred Stevens in his debate with priest Eric Beevers: Nobody ever gave me satisfaction yet as to what “ex-cathedra” means. I know what the words mean: “from the chair”..... whenever he’s proven wrong, he just wasn’t speaking “ex-cathedra.” If after fifty years, his statements are proved correct, then you can declare his statement Ex-Cathedra. So far as practical observation, he’s infallible only till you catch up with him!(11)

If Ex-Cathedra means a declarative statement that’s binding with the other Bishops’ ratification, why don’t we simply declare Church consensus infallible, instead of playing games? Instead of those two official pronouncements, why not say we reaffirm Church history instead? Since God isn’t the author of confusion, let’s speak clearly. Who’s the ultimate authority to declare the Pope infallible? The Pope is, so the Pope is infallible because he declares himself infallible. This “Essential Dogma” or circular reasoning resembles a dog chasing his tail. Furthermore, the Church declared Pope Honorius (reigned AD 625-638) a heretic. Other popes neglected the Councils, or let the Emperor officiate.

This doctrine becomes imperative when unchallenged but when challenged, it becomes narrowly defined, apologetic, and minimized, like a boxer crouching into a ball to avoid punches. Perhaps if nobody challenged it awhile, it would stand tall again, becoming widely defined and all-encompassing. Regardless, Papal Infallibility is illogical.

## ORTHODOXY

Eastern Orthodoxy, comparative to Catholicism, is an option for disgruntled Catholics. Some Orthodox declare that Catholicism is much closer to Protestantism than to Orthodoxy. Don’t believe this chicanery, which stems from bitterness over historical feuds. Having been one with the Catholic Church for a millennium before the 1054 split, they’re very similar. Likewise, claiming that Catholicism and Orthodoxy are identical is inaccurate. Some differences are: Orthodox deny Original Sin and Purgatory, have no Pope, have the scourge of love theory, and overemphasize Mysticism over Catholic Scholasticism (different approaches giving similar results). Orthodox parishes usually have the same problems as Catholic parishes.

Non-participation: In Greece, with a 98% Orthodox population, less than 10% ever attend Mass. “Orthodox Christians” certainly fail to spread Christ’s Gospel. For many years I didn’t realize such a Church existed. Obviously, “Orthodoxy” is not the light of the world.

There have been severe internal divisions between the OCIA (Orthodox Church in America) and ROCOR (Russian Orthodox Church outside Russia). An OCIA priest told me to avoid ROCOR, and a prominent ROCOR member said that the OCIA perverts Orthodox traditions. Both parties have shunned the Greek Orthodox, and Greek leaders have battled among themselves. Actually, Orthodox history is almost as corrupt as Catholic history. For example, numerous men bought the Orthodox patriarchate from Islamic sultans (simony).

The most blatant problem is that most Orthodox are closed off from everyone else. That would not be bad if they actually spread the Gospel and held the original faith without deviation (they pick and choose like everyone else). Leaders are guarded, judgmental, and suspicious of others with different Christian backgrounds, treating members of non-Christian religions better than believers. “Orthodoxy” can be incomprehen-

sively mystical. Catechumens must read many of their books before being accepted (like brainwashing). Besides, the clergy is domineering; significantly, bishop's names are in solid capitals.

"Orthodoxy" now holds Protestant sentiments, such condemning statues and the filioque because they're Catholic, accepting baptismal formulations rejected by the early Church, and entering into joint action with Protestant bodies. Greek parishes began incorporating instrumental music, erasing their distinction of singing only. The cacophony sometimes drowns out or replaces singing.

The Church throughout history understood that divorcing your spouse for reasons besides adultery, and "marrying" another, resulted in an adulterous state. Therefore, bishop's liberal application of divorce and remarriage is heretical.

Clergy arbitrarily receive people into the Church. My former roommate Jeff, who was born into it culturally but who hadn't attended church for years and didn't even believe the doctrine, could receive Communion. Yet being consistent in attendance and becoming knowledgeable in Orthodoxy, I was denied membership. Jeff informed me that they're simply looking for submission. However, they happily married me in their church, merely asking if I was baptized; they make a fortune from church weddings. They also raise money through the vice of gambling (Bingo and raffles).

Greek Orthodoxy can be less problematic for outsiders, and an option for disgruntled Catholics. However, parishes are few, unlike Catholic parishes, which are everywhere. If you ever moved, you might end up living over a hundred miles from a Greek congregation. Another option, the Anglican Ante-Nicene Christian Fellowship, is without any congregations in some states. The Missouri Synod, representing relatively conservative Lutheranism, is another option with similarities to Catholicism. Yet another option is remaining a good influence within your Catholic parish, yet withholding financial contributions and voicing dissent. Perhaps if enough people threatened to withhold financial contributions until Modernism and abuses are properly assuaged, things would change. Catholicism speaks for Christ only when doctrine and practice reflect the early Church. The finest Catholics may speak for Christ, but most Catholics certainly do not.

### Catholics and Jews are Brothers?

Pope Benedict declared "Jews are the Church's big brother." Thereafter, a priest lectured on tolerance, claiming that a Jewish boy in New York City was persecuted by everyone in the surrounding Gentile community. Worse yet, all seven brothers of a Catholic family beat him up regularly. The only person who was friendly to him was the Black janitor who shoveled coal into the school furnace.

Sounds like an urban legend. In my predominantly Gentile neighborhoods and schools I grew up around numerous Jewish kids, many Catholic kids, and various others. Besides nobody ever bullying a Jewish kid, nobody ever uttered an ethnic slur; NEVER. Our schools even honored Hanukkah. A Jewish youth, one of the toughest guys in school, became homecoming king. For awhile us neighborhood kids played at a Jewish home, before the boy completely withdrew himself. Later, whenever Christmastime rolled around, the family displayed large ceramic pigs in their window instead of Christmas decorations. So apparently somebody was shoveling something, but it wasn't coal.

Besides the perennial Protestant charge that Catholics are cannibalistic, some Jews go further. "Inside every goy (Gentile) is a Jew killer!" "They're cannibals. What is their wafer and wine they gobble on Sundays?; the flesh and blood of a Jew....." "They're dangerous people".....et cetera (from the fanciful novel *The Grand Dragon*.(12) After Jewish complaints, numerous churches changed some of their wording to avoid offending them. Some even stopped teaching the exclusivity of salvation through Christ alone, violating early

Church teaching. Since many Jews are atheists, in some circles belief in God becomes optional “If you are unable to believe.” Some have allowed Jewish rabbis to speak from their podiums or lecture on Catholic television. Yet Jews never make concessions. After I called a synagogue to inquire about Jewish beliefs, the rabbi had his secretary answer the phone, claiming to know nothing. Perhaps that’s to hide their hostility; many Jews hate Christians and Christianity.

A Jewish-produced *Night Gallery* episode opens with a street preacher dressed as a sloppy imitation of Jesus, who loudly proclaims Christ’s coming, but acts psychotic and threatens all passerby. He is therefore arrested. Thereafter, an elderly Jewish grandfather has dire financial woes. His prayers are eventually answered by “The Messiah,” a black messenger who is clearly not Jesus Christ. Jewish-produced movie *Farewell Uncle Tom* featured Blacks murdering, raping, and torturing only Gentile Whites. Jewish comedian Larry David pretended to urinate on a picture of Jesus Christ, while others pretended that Jesus was miraculously crying. (13-15) There are thousands of other such examples.

Although **Revelation 17-18**’s harlot was identified with imperial Rome, imperial Rome symbolizes another entity, as “Babylon” doesn’t literally mean Babylonian but symbolizes Babylonian qualities. The book of Revelation is filled with Old Testament allusions, and one entity repeatedly plays the harlot throughout the Old Testament: Jerusalem, now capital of Israel (**Isaiah 1:21, Jeremiah 3:1, 20, Ezekiel 16, Hosea 4:15, et cetera**). Specifically, God’s former chosen people became a mother of harlots (**Ezekiel 16:44-48**). And if the harlot was merely a pagan entity, not God’s former chosen people stealthily becoming the enemy of His people, whence the mystery?

As the great harlot is called the great city, Jerusalem is called the great city in **Revelation 11:8** (Christ was crucified in Jerusalem). Jerusalem had power over kings, as did Rome, mainly through control of commerce and her hold on Jewish citizens of other countries. Jerusalem was utterly destroyed by fire in AD 70 (**Revelation 18:8**). In Jerusalem was found the blood of all manner of righteous people on Earth (compare **Rev.18:24 with Matthew 23: 34-35**). Jerusalem also sits on seven örös (can be translated either hills or mountains). Vatican City does not sit on any of Rome’s seven hills.

Revelation 13’s mark of the beast (666) certainly has spiritual significance. Its mark upon the forehead indicates mental allegiance to evil; its mark upon the hand indicates predilection to evil actions. However, early churchmen also understood it as a literal number. And IT IS (present tense) the number of a man in the first century, NOT will be, narrowing it down considerably. So the harlot’s relationship with the beast and his mark (**Revelation 14:8-11**) bespeaks against the great harlot being the Catholic Church, since Catholic trappings were nonexistent in earliest centuries. However, the Church is guilty by association.

Although some see Celtic trefoil/Triquetas used by Christians as this mark, they extrapolate three sixes by using parts of the trefoil twice; that’s cheating. Numbers or letters never borrow parts from each other. However, the star of David is definitely six-six-six from its six points, six triangles, and six-sided polygon. The Bible never mentions this symbol. *The Six-Pointed Star* reveals its true history.(16) Also see *Insanity of Christian Zionism* and “Zionism and Christianity: Unholy Alliance”(videos).(17,18) Recommended reading: *Conspiracy of the Six-Pointed Star*.19

### Is Modern Catholicism Satisfactory?

In nineteenth century America, some Catholic laity supported slavery while clergymen were silent on it. In fact, there was a group of nuns that owned slaves.(20) The twentieth century Church supported the nonsensical and horrific Vietnam War. Modern slavery and Vietnam were extreme violations of loving thy neighbor, the Golden Rule, and human rights.



These issues, coupled with Popes contradicting other Popes, Catholics having embraced the theory of ongoing revelation, and other issues I've mentioned, demonstrate beyond a reasonable doubt that Catholicism (and Protestantism) is terribly corrupt. It is warranted to quit the Catholic Church for a relatively conservative Christian group. See the website [Novus Ordo Watch: Unmasking the Modernist Vatican II Church.](#)(21) Or eliminate these perversions, and shape up. See [XXIV.Revival!](#)



